arXiv:1303.0615v3 [math.DS] 9 Apr 2013

Construction of Fractal Surfaces by Recurrent Fractal Interpolation Curves

Chol-hui Yun , Hui-chol Choi , Hyong-chol O

Faculty of Mathematics, ***Kim Il Sung*** *University, Pyongyang, D. P. R. Korea*

February, 2013

Abstract

A method to construct fractal surfaces by recurrent fractal curves is provided. First we construct fractal interpolation curves using a recurrent iterated functions system(RIFS) with function scaling factors and estimate their box-counting dimension. Then we present a method of construction of wider class of fractal surfaces by fractal curves and Lipschitz functions and calculate the box-counting dimension of the constructed surfaces. Finally, we combine both methods to have more flexible constructions of fractal surfaces.

Keywords: Fractal curve, Fractal surface, Recurrent iterated function system(RIFS), Box-counting dimension, Fractal interpolation function.
2010 ***Mathematical Subject Classification***: 37C45; 28A80; 41A05

1 Introduction

A Fractal surface (or fractal curve) is a fractal set which is a graph of some continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^2$ (or $\mathbf{R}$). The method of construction of fractal surfaces (or fractal curves) are closely related to the generation of fractal interpolation functions(FIF). The FIFs were introduced by Barnsley [3] in 1986 and after that have been widely studied and used in approximation theory, image compression, computer graphics and modeling of natural surfaces such as rocks, metals, planets, terrains and so on [1, 2, 8, 10, 13, 19].

The constructions of fractal surfaces, such as self-similar, self-affine or non self-affine surfaces, by IFSs or RIFSs have been studied in many papers (see [14, 21, 5, 12, 15, 18, 9]). These surfaces are all attractors of some IFSs or RIFSs. In particular, Malysz [12], Metzler et al [15] and Feng et al [9] studied the construction of fractal interpolation surfaces on arbitrary data sets using IFS. Furthermore, Malysz [12], Metzler et al [15] and Yun [18] estimated the dimensions of the result surfaces. In [5], the authors studied the construction of *recurrent* fractal interpolation surfaces. In [5, 12] they use constant contraction factor in construction of IFS or RIFS and in [15, 18, 9] they use function contraction factor in construction of IFS.

The fractal properties of such rough surfaces as those of metals or rocks may expressed by sectional profiles of those surfaces. In many papers, constructions of fractal surfaces by fractal curves have been studied. In [13], Mandelbrot suggested that the fractal dimension of a surfaces constructed by a single curve can be obtained by adding 1 to the fractal dimension of the curve. In [8], Falconer introduced fractal surfaces constructed by the movement of a fractal curve along a segment and determined their fractal dimension. Xie and Fang proposed the so called star product fractal surfaces which are constructed by the movement of a fractal curves along another one([17]). In [20] a construction of fractal surfaces by 4 fractal curves, which are boundary curves of the constructed surface, and in [16] a construction of Bush type fractal surfaces by two Bush curves were studied. In [7] a construction of fractal surfaces by the fractal interpolation. All the constructions of fractal surfaces by fractal curves have common property that the fractal dimension of the constructed surfaces is determined by one of the fractal curves constructing them.

We construct recurrent fractal curves by more general RIFSs with function scaling factors and estimate the box-counting dimension of the constructed curves. And we construct wider class of fractal surfaces by fractal curves and Lipschitz functions, and calculate the box-counting dimension of the constructed surfaces. Finally we combine both constructions to construct fractal surfaces.

2 Construction of Recurrent Fractal Interpolation Curves

In this section, we construct recurrent fractal curves and estimate their box-counting dimension.

Let a data set be $P = \left\{(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbf{R}^2; i = 1, \dots, n\right\}, (x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n)$ and let $N_n = \{1, \dots, n\}, I = [x_0, x_n], I_i = [x_{i-1}.x_i], i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote Lipschitz(or contraction) constant of Lipschitz(or contraction)mapping f by L_f (or c_f). Let $l \geq 2, l \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $\tilde{I}_k = [x_{s(k)},\ x_{e(k)}],\ x_{s(k)}$, $x_{e(k)}\ \in \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, here $e(k) - s(k) \geq 2,\ k = 1, \dots, l$.

For each $i \in N_n$, we fix a $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and let $k = \gamma(i)$. For $i \in N_n$, $k = \gamma(i)$, let a mapping $L_{i,k} : \tilde{I}_k \to I_i$ be contraction homeomorphism and satisfy $L_{i,k} : \left\{x_{s(k)}, x_{e(k)}\right\} \to \{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$, and a function $F_{i,k} : \tilde{I}_k \times R \to R$ be defined by $F_{i,k}(x, y) = s_{i,k}(L_{i,k}(x))a(y) + b(x)$ and satisfy

$$F_{i,k}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = y_\beta, \alpha \in \{s(k), e(k)\}, \tag{1}$$

where $L_{i,k}(x_\alpha) = x_\beta, \beta \in \{i-1, i\}$ and $a(x), b(x)$ are Lipschitz mappings and $s_{i,k}(x)$ is a contraction function on I_i with $|s_{i,k}(x)L_a| < 1$ (which is called a ***vertical scaling factor***.) An example of $F_{i,k}$ satisfying (1) is

$$F_{i,k}(x, y) = s_{i,k}(L_{i,k}(x))(a(y) - g(x)) + h(L_{i,k}(x)),$$

where $h(x), g(x)$ are Lipschitz mappings on I and satisfy the following conditions;

$$g(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha, \alpha \in \{s(k), e(k)\}, h(x_i) = y_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

and $s_{i,k}(x)$ are taken as free unknown function.

We define transformations $W_{i,k} : \tilde{I}_k \times \mathbf{R} \to I_i \times \mathbf{R}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n;\ k = \gamma(i))$ by

$$W_{i,k}(x,\ y) = (L_{i,k}(x),\ F_{i,k}(x,\ y)).$$

Then there exists some distance equivalent to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbf{R}^2$ such that $W_{i,k}(i = 1, \dots, n;\ k = \gamma(i))$ are contraction transformations with respect to the distance. This family $\{W_{i,k} :\ i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of transformations defines a ***recurrent iterated function system***([4]) on $\mathbf{R}^2$ and it has the unique ***attractor***.

We define a ***row-stochastic matrix*** $M = (p_{ij})_{n \times n}$ by

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a_i}, & I_i \subseteq \tilde{I}_{\gamma(j)} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where the number a_i indicates for every fixed i, how many $\tilde{I}_{\gamma(j)}, j = 1, \dots, n$ include I_i. Then a ***connection matrix*** $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is defined as follows

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & p_{ji} > 0, \\ 0, & p_{ji} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that if the row-stochastic matrix is irreducible, then the connection matrix is also irreducible.

We denote the attractor of the recurrent iterated functions system(RIFS) $\{\mathbf{R}^2; M, W_{i,k}, i = 1, \dots, n,\ \gamma(i) = k \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$ by $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following theorem shows that $\mathcal{A}$ is a recurrent fractal curve.

Theorem 1 *The attractor $\mathcal{A}$ constructed above is a graph of some continuous function which interpolates the data set P.*

(**Proof**) Let $\mathrm{C}(I) = \left\{\varphi \in C^0(I); \varphi(x_i) = y_i, i = 0,\ 1,\ \dots\ ,\ n\right\}$, then the set $\mathrm{C}(I)$ is complete metric space with respect to norm $|| \cdot ||_\infty$. We can see easily that the operator $T : \mathrm{C}(I) \to \mathrm{C}(I)$; $(T\varphi)(x) = F_{i,k}(L_{i,k}^{-1}(x), \varphi(L_{i,k}^{-1}(x))), x \in I_i$ is well defined and the operator T is a contraction on the complete metric space $\mathrm{C}(I)$. Therefore the operator T has a unique fixed point in $\mathrm{C}(I)$, which we denote by f. Then the f is presented by

$$f(x) = s_{i,k}(x) f(L_{i,k}^{-1}(x) + b(x), i = 1, \dots, n, \gamma(i) = k$$

which means that $Gr(f) = \mathcal{A}$, where $Gr(f)$ denote a graph of f. $\square$

We estimate box-counting dimensions of the recurrent fractal curves constructed above. We can assume that $I = [0, 1]$, since the box-counting dimension is invariant under bi-Lipschitz mapping. For a set $D(\subset \mathbf{R}^1$ or $\mathbf{R}^2)$ and a function f defined on D,

$$R_f[D] = sup\left\{|f(x_2) - f(x_1)|; x_1, x_2 \in D\right\}.$$

is called the *maximum variation* of f on D.

Let I be a interval in $\mathbf{R}$, $L : I \to I$ a contraction homeomorphism, $a, b : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ Lipschitz mappings and $s : I \to \mathbf{R}$ contraction mappings with $|s(x)La| < 1$. We define a mapping $F : I \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$F(x, y) = s(L(x))a(y) + b(x), (x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}.$$

Lemma 1 *Let $f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ be continuous function. Then*

$$R_{F(L^{-1},\ f\circ L^{-1})}[L(I)] \leq \bar{s} L_a R_f[I] + |I|(c_s \bar{a}_f + L_b).$$

Here $|I|$ is a length of the interval I, $\bar{s} = \max_I |s(x)|$ and $\bar{a}_f = \max_I |a(f(x))|$.

(**Proof**) For any $x(\in L(I))$, let denote $\tilde{x} = L^{-1}(x)(\in I)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F(L^{-1}, f \circ L^{-1})(x) - F(L^{-1}, f \circ L^{-1})(x')| = \\
&\quad = |F(L^{-1}(x), f \circ L^{-1}(x)) - F(L^{-1}(x'), f \circ L^{-1}(x'))| \\
&\quad = |s(x)a(f(\tilde{x})) + b(\tilde{x}) - s(x')a(f(\tilde{x}')) - b(\tilde{x}')| \\
&\quad = |s(x)a(f(\tilde{x})) - s(x)a(f(\tilde{x}')) + s(x)a(f(\tilde{x}')) - s(x')a(f(\tilde{x}')) + b(\tilde{x}) - b(\tilde{x}')| \\
&\quad \leq \bar{s} L_a R_f[I] + c_s|x - x'|\bar{a}_f + L_b|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'| \\
&\quad \leq \bar{s} L_a R_f[I] + |I|(c_s \bar{a}_f + L_b).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let $x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{1}{n}(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), x_{e(k)} - x_{s(k)} = \frac{a}{n}(k = 1, \dots, l; a \in \mathrm{N})$ and $L_{i,k}(i \in N_n, k = \gamma(i))$ similitude contraction transformations. Then the number of I_j contained in $\tilde{I}_k$ is a . Let $\bar{S}$ and $\underline{S}$ be diagonal matrices

$$\bar{S} = diag(\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n), \quad \underline{S} = diag(\underline{s}_1, \dots, \underline{s}_n),$$

respectively, where $\bar{s}_i = max_{I_i}|s_{i,\gamma(i)}(x)|$, $\underline{s}_i = min_{I_i}|s_{i,\gamma(i)}(x)|$. We assume that the row-stochastic matrix M is irreducible and the mapping $a(x)$ is identity.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the recurrent fractal curve in Theorem 1. If there is some interval $\tilde{I}_{k_0}$ such that the points of $\mathrm{P} \cap (\tilde{I}_{k_0} \times \mathbf{R})$ are not collinear, then the box-counting dimension $\dim_B \mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ has the following lower and upper bounds;*

1) If $\underline{\lambda} \le 1$, then

$$1 + \log_a \underline{\lambda} \ \le \dim_B \mathcal{A} \le 1 + \log_a \bar{\lambda} \ ,$$

2) If $\bar{\lambda} \le 1$, then

$$\dim_B \mathcal{A} = 1 \ ,$$

where $\underline{\lambda} = \rho(\underline{S}\mathrm{C})$ and $\bar{\lambda} = \rho(\bar{S}\mathrm{C})$ are respectively spectral radii of the irreducible matrices $\underline{S}\mathrm{C}$ and $\bar{S}\mathrm{C}$.

(**Proof**) **Proof of 1)**: Let f be a contraction function whose graph is $\mathcal{A}$. We denote $R_f[\tilde{I}_k]$ by R_k and $\frac{1}{a^r}$ by ε_r for simplicity. Then $r \to \infty \Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_r \to 0$.
After applying each $W_{i,k}$ to the interpolation points in the interval $\tilde{I}_k$ one time, we have $(a+1)$ new points in every interval $I_i (i \in N_n)$. According to the hypothesis, the interpolation points lying inside $\tilde{I}_{k_0}$ are not collinear and the connection matrix C is irreducible. Thus for every interval I_i there are three points which are not collinear, the maximum vertical distance (computed only along the y-axis) from one of the three points to the line through other two interpolation points is greater than 0. The maximum value is called a *height* and denoted by H_i .

By Lemma 1, on each region I_i we have

$$R_f[I_i] \le \bar{s}_i \ R_k + \frac{a}{n} e,$$

where $e = c_s \bar{f} + L_b$.

We define non negative vectors $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{i}$ by

$$\mathbf{h_1} = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ H_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{s}_1 R_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \bar{s}_n R_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u_1} = \mathbf{r} + \frac{a}{n} e \mathbf{i}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is the graph of a continuous function defined on I, the smallest number of ε_r-mesh squares necessary to cover $I_i \times \mathbf{R} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is greater than the smallest number of ε_r-mesh squares necessary to cover vertical line with the length H_i and less than the smallest number of ε_r-mesh squares necessary to cover a rectangle $I_i \times [\underline{f}_i, \ \bar{f}_i]$, where

$$\underline{f}_i = \min_{I_i} |f(x, \ y)|, \quad \bar{f}_i = \max_{I_i} |f(x, \ y)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [H_i \varepsilon_r^{-1}] - n \le N(\varepsilon_r) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left[\left(\bar{s}_i R_i + \frac{a}{n} e \mathbf{i} \right) \varepsilon_r^{-1} \right] + 1 \right) \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}{n} \right] + 1 \right),$$

i.e.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{h_1} \varepsilon_r^{-1}) - n \le N(\varepsilon_r) \le \Phi(\mathbf{u_1} \varepsilon_r^{-1} + \mathbf{i}) \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}{n} \right] + 1 \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} > \varepsilon_r$ and

$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n, \quad \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n).$$

After applying $W_{i,k}$ twice, we have a subintervals of length $\frac{1}{an}$ in each $I_i (i \in N_n)$. Those subintervals are mapped by the transformation $W_{i,k}$ from subintervals lying inside the intervals $\tilde{I}_{\gamma(i)}$ corresponding to the interval I_i containing themslves, and thus for each subinterval I_i the height on the new subintervals produced in I_i is not less than $\underline{s}_i \cdot H$, where H is the height on original subinterval contained in the interval $\tilde{I}_{\gamma(i)}$. Therefore, the sum of maximum variance of f on a subintervals of the length $\frac{1}{an}$ contained in the interval $I_i (i \in N_n)$ is not greater than i-th coordinate of vector $\mathbf{u}_2 = \bar{S}C\mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}$, the sum of the heights is not less than i-th coordinate of vector $\mathbf{h}_2 = \underline{S}C\mathbf{h}_1$ and

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{h}_2 \varepsilon_r^{-1}) - an \le N(\varepsilon_r) \le \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{u}_2 \varepsilon_r^{-1} + a\mathbf{i}) \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}{an} \right] + 1 \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{an} > \varepsilon_r$.

By induction after taking k such that $a\varepsilon_r \ge \frac{1}{a^{k-1}n} \ge \varepsilon_r$, that is, $r - \log_a n + 1 > k \ge r - \log_a n$ and applying $W_{j,\gamma(i)}$ k times, we get a^{k-1} subintervals of the length $\frac{1}{a^{k-1}n}$ contained in each interval I_i and

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{h}_k \varepsilon_r^{-1}) - a^{k-1}n \le N(\varepsilon_r) \le \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{u}_k \varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\mathbf{i}) \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}{a^{k-1}n} \right] + 1 \right), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_k = \bar{S}\mathrm{C}\mathbf{u}_{k-1} + \frac{a^k}{n}b\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{h}_k = \underline{\mathrm{S}}\mathrm{C}\mathbf{h}_{k-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{u}_k = (\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\mathbf{r} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-2}\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i} + \ldots + (\bar{S}C)\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i} + \frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}, \\
&\mathbf{h}_k = (\underline{S}C)^{(k-1)}\mathbf{h}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{S}C$ and $\bar{S}C$ are non-negative irreducible matrix, from Frobenius' theorem there exist strictly positive eigenvectors of $\underline{S}C$ and $\bar{S}C$(which correspond to eigenvalues $\underline{\lambda} = \rho(\underline{S}C)$ and $\bar{\lambda} = \rho(\bar{S}C)$ of $\underline{S}C$ and $\bar{S}C$) and we can choose such strictly positive eigenvectors $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{e}}$ (which correspond to eigenvalues $\underline{\lambda}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ respectively) that

$$\mathbf{r} \le \bar{\mathbf{e}}, \quad b\mathbf{i} < n\bar{\mathbf{e}}, \quad 0 < \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1 < \mathbf{h}_1 .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\varepsilon_r) &\le \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{u}_k \varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\mathbf{i}) \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}{a^{k-1}n} \right] + 1 \right) \\
&\le \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{u}_k \varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\mathbf{i})(a+1) \\
&\le \mathbf{\Phi}((\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\mathbf{r}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-2}\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \ldots + (\bar{S}C)\frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{n}e\mathbf{i}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\mathbf{i})(a+1) \\
&\le \mathbf{\Phi}((\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\bar{\mathbf{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-1}\bar{\mathbf{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + (\bar{S}C)^{k-2}\bar{\mathbf{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \ldots + (\bar{S}C)\bar{\mathbf{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \\
&\quad + \bar{\mathbf{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\mathbf{i})(a+1) \\
&= (\bar{\lambda}^{k-1}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \bar{\lambda}^{k-1}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \bar{\lambda}^{k-2}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \ldots + \bar{\lambda}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \\
&\quad + \Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{k-1}\Phi(\mathbf{i})(a+1) \\
&\le (\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu-1}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \ldots + \bar{\lambda}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + \\
&\quad + \Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} + a^{r-\nu}\Phi(\mathbf{i})(a+1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu := \log_a n$.

On the other hands, since $(\underline{\mathrm{s}}_i \le (\bar{s}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, from Frobenius's theorem we have $\underline{\lambda} \le \bar{\lambda}$.

Therefore if $\underline{\lambda} > 1$, then $1 > \frac{1}{\underline{\lambda}} \geq \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N(\varepsilon_r) &\leq \bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}\left(1+1+\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})a^{\nu}}\right)(a+1) \\ &= \bar{\lambda}^{r}\varepsilon_r^{-1}\bar{\lambda}^{-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\left(1+\frac{1-\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right)^{r-\nu+1}}{1-\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})a^{\nu}}\right)(a+1). \end{aligned}$$

Here let $\delta(r) := \bar{\lambda}^{-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\left(1+\frac{1-\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right)^{r-\nu+1}}{1-\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})a^{\nu}}\right)(a+1)$, then $\delta(r) > 0$ and

$$\mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} = \lim_{\varepsilon_r\to 0}\frac{\mathbf{log}N(\varepsilon_r)}{-\mathbf{log}\varepsilon_r} \leq 1+\log_a\bar{\lambda}. \tag{3}$$

By (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\varepsilon_r) &\geq \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{h}_k\varepsilon_r^{-1}) - a^{k-1}n \qquad = \boldsymbol{\Phi}((\underline{S}C)^{k-1}\mathbf{h}_1\varepsilon_r^{-1}) - a^{k-1}n \\ &\geq \boldsymbol{\Phi}((\underline{S}C)^{k-1}\underline{\mathrm{e}}\varepsilon_r^{-1}) - a^{k-1}n = \underline{\lambda}^{k-1}\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} - a^{k-1}n \\ &\geq \underline{\lambda}^{r-\nu-1}\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1} - a^{r-2\nu}n\varepsilon_r^{-1} \\ &= \varepsilon_r^{-1}\underline{\lambda}^{r}\left(\underline{\lambda}^{-\nu-1}\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}) - \frac{a^{-\nu}n}{\underline{\lambda}^{r}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{\lambda} > 1$, there is r_0 such that $\eta(r) := \underline{\lambda}^{-\nu-1}\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}) - \frac{a^{-\nu}n}{\underline{\lambda}^{r}} > 0$ for any $r(> r_0)$ and therefore we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{log}N(\varepsilon_r)}{-\mathbf{log}\varepsilon_r} \geq 1+\log_a\underline{\lambda}+\frac{1}{r}\log_a\eta(r), \quad r(> r_0) \tag{4}$$

By (3), (4) if $\underline{\lambda} > 1$, then we have

$$1+\log_a\underline{\lambda} \leq \mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} \leq 1+\log_a\bar{\lambda}.$$

Proof of 2): If $\bar{\lambda} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\varepsilon_r) \leq (&\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}+\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}+\bar{\lambda}^{r-\nu-1}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}+\ldots+\bar{\lambda}\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}+ \\ &+\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})\varepsilon_r^{-1}+a^{r-\nu}n)(a+1) \\ \leq\ & \varepsilon_r^{-1}[\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})(r-\nu+2)+a^{-\nu}n](a+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} = \lim_{\varepsilon_r\to 0}\frac{\mathbf{log}N(\varepsilon_r)}{-\log\varepsilon_r} \leq 1+\frac{1}{r}\log_a\Phi(\bar{\mathbf{e}})(r-\nu+2)+a^{-\nu}n)(a+1).$$

On the other hands $\mathcal{A}$ is a curve in $\mathbf{R}^2$ and therefore $\mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} \geq 1$. Hence $\mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} = 1$. □

Remark 1. In the case that $s_{i,\ k}(x) = s_{i,\ k}$(constant), if $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda} > 1$, then $\mathbf{dim}_B\mathcal{A} = 1+\log_a\lambda$. This is the estimation of box-counting dimension of RFISs in [4].

3 Constructions of Fractal Surfaces using Recurrent Fractal Interpolation Curves

In this section, we first introduce some constructions of fractal surfaces in which general fractal curves are used and compute their Box-counting dimension. Next, this construction and recurrent fractal interpolation curves are combined.

3.1 Fractal Surfaces Constructed by General fractal Curves and their Box-counting Dimension

We consider fractal surfaces only on the unit square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ because our results can easily be generalized to any square $[a,b] \times [c,d]$. Let denote $I = [0,1]$ and $\mathrm{E} = I \times I$.

Let $f,\ g : I \to \mathbf{R}$ be fractal curves (i.e. f and g are continuous and their graphs are fractal sets). Let $\lambda,\ \mu : I \to \mathbf{R}$ be continuous Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constants L_λ and L_μ respectively. For $(x,y) \in \mathrm{E}$, we define a continuous function $F : \mathrm{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$F(x,y) = \lambda(x) f(x) + \mu(y) g(y). \tag{5}$$

The following theorem shows that the graph of this function F is a fractal set.

Theorem 3 *Let the function F be given by (5). Then the Box-counting dimension* $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(F)$ *of its graph is*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(F) = 1 + \mathrm{Max}\left\{\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(f),\ \dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(g)\right\}.$$

To prove the theorem, we need some basic concepts and lemmas. Divide the interval I into n subintervals with the same length, denote the i-th subinterval by $I_i = \left[\frac{i-1}{n},\ \frac{i}{n}\right]$ and denote $\mathrm{E}_{ij} = I_i \times I_j$.

In general, the *box-counting dimension* $\dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A}$ of a fractal set $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(\mathcal{A})}{-\log \delta}$$

(if this limit exists), where $N_\delta(\mathcal{A})$ is any of the followings (see [8]):

(i) the smallest number of closed balls of radius δ that cover $\mathcal{A}$;

(ii) the smallest number of cubes of side δ that cover $\mathcal{A}$;

(iii) the number of δ-mesh cubes that intersect $\mathcal{A}$;

(iv) the smallest number of sets of diameter at most δ that cover $\mathcal{A}$;

(v) the largest number of disjoint balls of radius δ with centers in $\mathcal{A}$.

Let denote $N_\delta(\mathcal{A})$ on a subinterval I_i by $N_\delta^i(\mathcal{A})$ and on a subdomain E_{ij} by $N_\delta^{ij}(\mathcal{A})$. In the calculation of the Box-counting dimension, we use $\frac{1}{n}$-mesh cubes for $n \in \mathbf{N}\,(n \geq 2)$(case (iii)).

The following lemma is easily proved from the definition of the Box-counting dimension.

Lemma 2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be fractal sets and* $\dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A} \geq \dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{B}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log\left[\mathrm{N}_\delta(\mathcal{A}) + \mathrm{N}_\delta(\mathcal{B})\right]}{-\log \delta} = \dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A},$$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log\left[\mathrm{N}_\delta(\mathcal{A}) + \mathrm{N}_\delta(\mathcal{B}) \pm \delta^{-1}\right]}{-\log \delta} = \dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A},$$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\log\left[\mathrm{N}_\delta(\mathcal{A}) \pm \delta^{-1}\right]}{-\log \delta} = \dim_{\mathrm{B}}\mathcal{A}.$$

Lemma 3 *Let the functions $f,\ g$ be fractal curves. If we define a function $F' :\ \mathrm{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ by*

$$F'(x,\ y) = f(x) + g(y),\ (x,\ y) \in \mathrm{E},$$

then the Box-counting dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(F')$ *is as follows:*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(F') = 1 + \mathrm{Max}\left\{\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(f),\ \dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr(g)\right\}.$$

(**Proof**) Evidently $\mathrm{R}_{F'}\left[\mathrm{E}_{ij}\right] = \mathrm{R}_f\left[\mathrm{I}_i\right] + \mathrm{R}_g\left[\mathrm{I}_j\right]$ for any $i,\ j$. On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\mathrm{R}_{F'}\left[\mathrm{E}_{ij}\right] / \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \mathrm{N}^{ij}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(F'\right)\right) \leq 2 + \mathrm{R}_{F'}\left[\mathrm{E}_{ij}\right] / \left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \tag{6}$$

for the continuous function F' on the domain E_{ij}. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N}^{i}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + \mathrm{N}^{j}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(g\right)\right) - 4 &\leq \mathrm{N}^{ij}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(F'\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{N}^{i}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + \mathrm{N}^{j}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(g\right)\right) + 2, \\ n\mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + n\mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(g\right)\right) - 4n^2 &\leq \mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(F'\right)\right) \leq n\mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + n\mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(g\right)\right) + 2n^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2 and the definition of the Box-counting dimension we get the result. □

Let denote $\mathrm{M}_f = \mathrm{Max}_{x\in\mathrm{I}}|f\left(x\right)|,\ c_{\lambda_1} = \mathrm{Min}_{x\in\mathrm{I}}|\lambda\left(x\right)|$ and $c_{\lambda_2} = \mathrm{Max}_{x\in\mathrm{I}}|\lambda\left(x\right)|$.

Lemma 4 *Let the functions $f,\ \lambda$ be the same as the above ones. If we define the function $\lambda f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ by $\left(\lambda f\right)\left(x\right) = \lambda\left(x\right) f\left(x\right), x \in I$, then the Box-counting dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(\lambda f\right)$ is the same as that of the function f.*

(**Proof**) For any $x,\ x' \in I_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\left(\lambda f\right)\left(x\right) - \left(\lambda f\right)\left(x'\right)| &= |\lambda\left(x\right) f\left(x\right) - \lambda\left(x'\right) f\left(x'\right)| \\ &= |\lambda\left(x\right) f\left(x\right) - \lambda\left(x\right) f\left(x'\right) + \lambda\left(x\right) f\left(x'\right) - \lambda\left(x'\right) f\left(x'\right)| \\ &= |\lambda\left(x\right)\left(f\left(x\right) - f\left(x'\right)\right) + \left(\lambda\left(x\right) - \lambda\left(x'\right)\right) f\left(x'\right)|. \end{aligned}$$

We can suppose $c_{\lambda_1} > 0$ (see Remark 2). Then, for n large enough,

$$|\lambda\left(x\right)||f\left(x\right) - f\left(x'\right)| - \mathrm{L}_\lambda \frac{1}{n}|f\left(x'\right)| \leq |\left(\lambda f\right)\left(x\right) - \left(\lambda f\right)\left(x'\right)| \leq |\lambda\left(x\right)||f\left(x\right) - f\left(x'\right)| + \mathrm{L}_\lambda \frac{1}{n}|f\left(x'\right)|,$$

$$c_{\lambda_1}\mathrm{R}_f\left[I_i\right] - \mathrm{L}_\lambda \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{M}_f \leq \mathrm{R}_{\lambda f}\left[I_i\right] \leq c_{\lambda_2}\mathrm{R}_f\left[I_i\right] + \mathrm{L}_\lambda \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{M}_f.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_1} N^{i}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) - m_1 &\leq N^{i}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(\lambda f\right)\right) \leq c_{\lambda_2} N^{i}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + m_2, \\ c_{\lambda_1} N_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) - n \cdot m_1 &\leq N_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(\lambda f\right)\right) \leq c_{\lambda_2} \mathrm{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(Gr\left(f\right)\right) + n \cdot m_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_1 = 2c_{\lambda_1} + \mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{M}_f,\ m_2 = 2 + \mathrm{L}_\lambda \mathrm{M}_f$. Taking logarithms, the definition of the Box-counting dimension and Lemma 1 give the result. □

Remark 2 In the case where $c_{\lambda_1} = 0$, we choose $\lambda'\left(x\right) = \lambda\left(x\right) + c$ such that $c_{\lambda'_1} > 0$ and define a function $\lambda' f : \mathrm{I} \to \mathbf{R}$ by $\left(\lambda' f\right)\left(x\right) = \left(\lambda f\right)\left(x\right) + cf\left(x\right)$ for $x \in \mathrm{I}$. Then $\left(\lambda f\right)\left(x\right) = \left(\lambda' f\right)\left(x\right) + \left(-cf\left(x\right)\right)$. Therefore, from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(\lambda f\right) = \dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(f\right)$.

(**Proof of Theorem 3**) Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 give the result of the theorem. □

Corollary 1 *Let $f_i,\ g_j$ be fractal curves and $\lambda_i,\ \mu_j$ be Lipschitz functions for $i = 1, \ldots, N,\ j = 1, \ldots, M$. Then the Box-counting dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(F\right)$ of the function $F : \mathrm{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ defined for $\left(x,\ y\right) \in \mathbf{E}$ by*

$$F\left(x,\ y\right) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i\left(x\right) f_i\left(x\right) + \Sigma_{j=1}^{M}\mu_j\left(y\right) g_j\left(y\right)$$

is as follows:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(F\right) = 1 + \mathrm{Max}_{i,j}\left\{\dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(f_i\right),\ \dim_{\mathrm{B}} Gr\left(g_j\right)\right\}.$$

The following theorem is proved analoguesly to Theorem 3.

Theorem 4 *Let the functions* $f_i,\ g_j$ *be the same as in Theorem 3 and functions* $\lambda_i,\ \mu_j\ : \mathrm{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ *continuous Lipschitz functions for* $i = 1, \ldots, N,\ j = 1, \ldots, M$*. Then the function* $F : \mathrm{E} \to \mathbf{R}$ *defined for* $(x, y) \in \mathrm{E}$ *by*

$$F\left(x,y\right)=\Sigma_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_{i}\left(x,y\right)f_{i}\left(x\right)+\Sigma_{j=1}^{M}\mu_{j}\left(x,y\right)g_{j}\left(y\right) \tag{7}$$

has the Box-counting dimension of

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}}Gr\left(F\right)=1+\mathrm{Max}_{i,j}\left\{\dim_{\mathrm{B}}Gr\left(f_{i}\right),\ \dim_{\mathrm{B}}Gr\left(g_{j}\right)\right\}.$$

Remark 3 The fractal surfaces presented in the papers [8, 16, 17, 20] are contained in the family of fractal surfaces defined by (5), (7).

3.2 Construction of Fractal Surfaces by Recurrent Fractal Interpolation Curves

The results of the calculations of the Box-counting dimension in Section 3.1 show that the complexity of the fractal surfaces defined above are dominated by the fractal curves generating them. Thus, the more flexible a construction of fractal curves is, the more natural the fractal surface constructed by them is. We can control the complexity and shape of the fractal surfaces in Section 3.1 by the vertical contractive function $s\left(x\right)$, the stochastic matrix P and Lipschitz functions $g(x),\ h(x),\ \lambda(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ as we want. So, the fractal surfaces presented in this paper should be more appropriate to model natural objects.

References

[1] Barnsley M.F., Fractals Everywhere. Academic Press, New York; 1988.

[2] Barnsley M.F, Hurd LP. Fractal Image Compression. AK Peters, Wellesley; 1993.

[3] Barnsley M.F., *Fractal functions and interpolation.* Constr. Approx. 1986;**2**:2303-329.

[4] Barnsley M.F., Elton JH and Hardin DP. *Recurrent iterated function systems.* Constr. Approx. 1989;**5**:3-31.

[5] Bouboulis P, Dalla L and Drakopoulos V., *Construction of recurrent bivariate fractal interpolation surfaces and computation of their box-counting dimension.* J. Approx. Theory, 2006;**141**:99-117.

[6] Bouboulis P., Dalla L., *A general construction of fractal interpolation functions on grids of* $\mathbf{R}^n$. Euro. Jnl of Applied Mathematics, 2007;**18**:449-476.

[7] Bouboulis P., Dalla L., *Fractal interpolation surfaces derived from fractal interpolation functions.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007;**336**:919-936.

[8] Falconer K., Fractal Geometry, Mathematical Foundations and Applications. John Wiley & Sons; 1990.

[9] Feng, Z.G.; Feng, Y.Z. and Yuan, Z.Y.;*fractal interpolation surfaces with function vertical scaling factors*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 2012, **25**, 1896-1900.

[10] Fisher Y., Fractal Image Compression-Theory and Application. Springer-Verlag, New York; 1994.

[11] Geronimo J.S., Hardin D. *Fractal interpolation surfaces and a related 2D multiresolutional analysis.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1993;**176**:561-586.

[12] Malysz R., *The Minkowski dimension of the bivariate fractal interpolation surfaces.* Chaos, Solutions and Fractals, 2006;**27**:1147-1156.

[13] Mandelbrot B.B., The Fractal Geometry of Nature. Freeman, San Francisco; 1982.

[14] Massopust P.R., *Fractal surfaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1990;**151**:275-290.

[15] Metzler W, Yun C.H., *Construction of fractal interpolation surfaces on rectangular grids*, Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, 2010; **20**, 4079-4086.(doi: 10.1142/S0218127410027933)

[16] Wang H.Y., Xu Z.B., *A class of Rough Surfaces and Their Fractal Dimensions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2001;**259**:537-553.

[17] Xie H.P., Feng Z.G. and Chen Z.D., *On star product fractal surfaces and their dimensions*, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 1999;**20(11)**:1183-1189.

[18] Yun, Chol-Hui; *Box-counting Dimension of a Kind of Fractal Interpolation Surface on Rectangular Grids*, Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 2012,Vol.**6**, Issue **4**, 61-69, arXiv:1208.2081[math.DS]

[19] Yun, Chol-Hui; Metzler, W. and Barski, M.;*Image compression predicated on recurrent iterated function systems*, 2^{nd} International Conference on Mathematics and Statistics, 16-19 June, 2008, Athens, Greece, pages 1-11, arXiv:1304.2014[math.DS]

[20] Zhang T.J., Qiu P.Z. and Li H.T., *A method of generating fractal surface of coons type*, Journal of Software, 1998;**9(9)**:709-712.

[21] Zhao N. *Construction and application of fractal interpolation surfaces*, The Visual Computer, 1996;**12**:132-146.